



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/469,308		12/22/1999	IK PYO HONG	K-150	4822
34610	7590	04/15/2004		EXAMINER	
FLESHNE	R & KIM	I, LLP	LI, SHI K		
P.O. BOX 2 CHANTILL		20153		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHANTEL	71, VA 2	20133		2633	14
				DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/469,308	HONG, IK PYO					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shi K. Li	2633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 25 March 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	d to avoid abandonment of this ner: (1) a timely filed amendme Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in					
PERIOD FO	OR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mail b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPL 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. S	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date expire later than SIX MONTHS from LY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT a). The date on which the petition undeperiod of extension and the correspondate of the shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after than three months.	the mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP der 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action; or	า ภ				
 1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appearance 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3) 2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered 	37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid disr	•					
<u> </u>		and (an NOTE below)					
(a) they raise new issues that would require		earch (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see	•						
(c) they are not deemed to place the applications issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without c	anceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	- , ,						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requestion in condition for allowance because		en considered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		DLELY to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amene explanation of how the new or amended claim							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fol	llows:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6,8,9 and 11-13</u> .	•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3.7 a	<u>and 10</u> .						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)] approved or b)☐ disapprov	ved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta	atement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)					
10. Other:							
·	·						
		·					



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments change the scope of the claims and would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed to the claims as amended and are most in view of the non-entry of these amendments.

JASON CHAN
JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600